

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/115746/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

van Eekelen, R., van Geloven, N., van Wely, M., McLernon, D. J., Mol, F., Custers, I.M., Steures, P., Bhattacharya, S., Mol, B.W., van der Veen, F. and Eijkemans, M.J. 2019. Is IUI with ovarian stimulation effective in couples with unexplained subfertility? *Human Reproduction* 34 (1), pp. 84-91. 10.1093/humrep/dey329

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey329>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 **Is intrauterine insemination with ovarian stimulation**  
2 **effective in couples with unexplained subfertility?**

3

4 **Running title:** Effectiveness of IUI with ovarian stimulation

5

6 van Eekelen R<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, van Geloven N<sup>3</sup>, van Wely M<sup>1</sup>, McLernon DJ<sup>4</sup>, Mol F<sup>1</sup>, Custers IM<sup>1</sup>,  
7 Steures P<sup>5</sup>, Bhattacharya S<sup>6</sup>, Mol BW<sup>7</sup>, van der Veen F<sup>1</sup>, Eijkemans MJ<sup>2</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Academic Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ  
10 Amsterdam, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Research Support, Julius  
11 Centre, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, the  
12 Netherlands <sup>3</sup>Medical Statistics, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Leiden University  
13 Medical Centre, Einthovenweg 20, 2333 ZC Leiden, the Netherlands <sup>4</sup>Medical Statistics  
14 Team, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3FX Aberdeen,  
15 United Kingdom <sup>5</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jeroen Bosch Ziekenhuis,  
16 Henri Dunantstraat 1, 5223 GZ Den Bosch, the Netherlands <sup>6</sup>Cardiff University School of  
17 Medicine, Heath Park Cardiff CF14 4XN, United Kingdom <sup>7</sup>Department of Obstetrics and  
18 Gynaecology, Monash University, Scenic Blvd, VIC 3800 Clayton, Australia

19

20 \*Correspondence address. E-mail: [r.vaneekelen@amc.uva.nl](mailto:r.vaneekelen@amc.uva.nl)

21 **Extended abstract**

22

23 **Study question:** Does starting intrauterine insemination with ovarian stimulation (IUI-OS)  
24 within one and a half years after completion of the fertility workup increase ongoing  
25 pregnancy rates compared to expectant management in couples with unexplained  
26 subfertility?

27

28 **Summary answer:** IUI-OS is associated with higher chances of ongoing pregnancy  
29 compared to expectant management in unexplained subfertile couples, specifically those  
30 with poor prognoses of natural conception i.e. <15% over 6 months or <25% over one year.

31

32 **What is known already:** IUI-OS is often the first-line treatment for couples with unexplained  
33 subfertility. Two randomised controlled trials compared IUI-OS to expectant management  
34 using different thresholds for the prognosis of natural conception as inclusion criteria and  
35 found conflicting results. A cohort of couples with unexplained subfertility exposed to  
36 expectant management and IUI-OS offers an opportunity to determine the chances of  
37 conception after both strategies and to evaluate whether the effect of IUI-OS depends on a  
38 couple's prognosis of natural conception.

39

40 **Study design, size, duration:** A prospective cohort study on couples with unexplained or  
41 mild male subfertility who could start IUI-OS at any point after completion of the fertility  
42 workup, recruited in 7 Dutch centres between January 2002 and February 2004. Decisions  
43 regarding treatment were subject to local protocols, the judgement of the clinician and the  
44 wishes of the couple. Couples with bilateral tubal occlusion, anovulation, or a total motile  
45 sperm count  $<1 \times 10^6$  were excluded. Follow up was censored at the start of IVF, after the last  
46 IUI cycle or at last contact and truncated at a maximum of one and a half years after the  
47 fertility workup.

48

49 **Participants/materials, setting, methods:** The endpoint was time to conception leading to  
50 an ongoing pregnancy. We used the sequential Cox approach comparing in each month  
51 ongoing pregnancy rates over the next 6 months of couples who started IUI-OS to couples  
52 who did not. We calculated the prognosis of natural conception for individual couples,  
53 updated this over consecutive failed cycles and evaluated whether prognosis modified the  
54 effect of starting IUI-OS. We corrected for known predictors of conception using inverse  
55 probability weighting.

56

57 **Main results and the role of chance:** Data from 1896 couples were available. There were  
58 800 couples whom had at least one IUI-OS cycle within one and a half years post fertility  
59 workup of whom 142 couples conceived (rate: 0.50 per couple per year, median follow up 4  
60 months). The median period between fertility workup completion and starting IUI-OS was 6.5  
61 months. Out of 1096 untreated couples, 386 conceived naturally (rate: 0.31 per couple per  
62 year, median follow up 7 months).

63 Starting IUI-OS was associated with a higher chance of ongoing pregnancy by a pooled,  
64 overall hazard ratio of 1.96 (95%CI: 1.47-2.62) compared to expectant management. The  
65 effect of treatment was modified by a couple's prognosis of achieving natural conception  
66 ( $p=0.01$ ), with poorer prognoses or additional failed natural cycles being associated with a  
67 stronger effect of treatment. The predicted 6-month ongoing pregnancy rate for a couple with  
68 a prognosis of 25% at completion of the fertility workup over the next 6 cycles (approximately  
69 40% over one year) was 25% (95%CI: 21-28%) for expectant management and 24%  
70 (95%CI: 9-36%) when starting IUI-OS directly. For a couple with a prognosis of 15% (25%  
71 over one year), these predicted rates were 17% (95%CI: 15-19%) for expectant management  
72 and 24% (95%CI: 15-32%) for starting IUI-OS.

73

74 **Limitations, reasons for caution:** The effect estimates are based on a prospective cohort  
75 followed up for one and a half years after completion of the fertility workup. Although we  
76 balanced the known predictors of conception between treated and untreated couples using

77 inverse probability weighting, observational data may be subject to residual confounding. The  
78 results need to be confirmed in external datasets.

79

80 **Wider implications of the findings:** These results explain the discrepancies between  
81 previous trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management, but further studies are  
82 required to establish the threshold at which IUI-OS is (cost-)effective.

83

84 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** This study was facilitated by grant 945/12/002 from  
85 ZonMW, The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development, The Hague,  
86 The Netherlands. BWM is supported by a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (GNT1082548).  
87 BWM reports consultancy for ObsEva, Merck and Guerbet. The other authors have no  
88 conflicts of interest.

89

#### 90 **Keywords**

91 Intrauterine insemination; unexplained subfertility; prospective cohort; time-varying treatment;  
92 sequential Cox model

93 **Introduction**

94 Couples who have been trying to conceive for at least 12 months and whose fertility workup  
95 fails to reveal any abnormalities are considered to have unexplained subfertility (Aboulghar *et*  
96 *al.*, 2009; Brandes *et al.*, 2010). IUI is often used as first-line treatment in these couples,  
97 especially in combination with ovarian stimulation (OS), since it is less invasive and less  
98 costly than IVF (Tjon-Kon-Fat *et al.*, 2015), despite conflicting evidence from randomised  
99 controlled trials regarding the effectiveness of IUI-OS. The two trials that compared IUI-OS to  
100 expectant management used different thresholds for the prognosis of natural conception as  
101 inclusion criteria. In women with an intermediate prognosis to conceive naturally i.e. an  
102 estimated probability between 30% and 40% to conceive within 12 months leading to live  
103 birth, IUI-OS was not more effective than expectant management (Steures *et al.*, 2006). In  
104 women with a poor prognosis i.e. <30% over 12 months, IUI-OS resulted in more live births  
105 than expectant management (Farquhar *et al.*, 2018).

106         The results of these two trials suggest that IUI-OS might be effective in couples with a  
107 poor prognosis, whereas it might be ineffective in couples with better prognoses. For  
108 example, couples who have been trying to conceive for a longer period of time and where the  
109 female partner is older and/or nulliparous might derive greater benefit from treatment  
110 (McLernon *et al.*, 2014). However, these two trial results cannot be taken as definite  
111 evidence that we should treat all couples with a poor prognosis of natural conception. Other -  
112 unmeasured- differences between the two trials could also explain the different results. The  
113 hypothesis that the prognostic profile of a couple determines the benefit of IUI-OS thus  
114 needs to be addressed directly in a single population that is heterogeneous in terms of their  
115 prognosis of natural conception. Knowledge on who is more likely to conceive with IUI-OS is  
116 critical in informing clinical decision making and avoids unnecessary treatment in some while  
117 ensuring early and appropriate access to active treatment in others.

118 The aim of this study was to determine the chances of conception after expectant  
119 management or starting IUI-OS in a cohort of unexplained subfertile couples that included  
120 couples who followed both strategies.

121

122

## 123 **Materials and Methods**

124

### 125 *Patient selection*

126 Couples were selected from a prospective cohort recruited across 38 hospitals in The  
127 Netherlands between January 2002 and February 2004, the detailed protocol for which has  
128 been described elsewhere (van der Steeg *et al.*, 2007). The purpose of recruiting this cohort  
129 was to validate the Hunault model to predict the chances of natural conception leading to live  
130 birth (Hunault *et al.*, 2004). In 7 of these 38 centres, data on IUI cycles was also recorded  
131 and used to validate a prediction model for chances of ongoing pregnancy per IUI cycle  
132 (Steures *et al.*, 2004; Custers *et al.*, 2007). IVF pregnancy outcome data were not routinely  
133 collected but starting dates of IVF treatment were known. Couples from the 7 centres that  
134 recorded IUI data were included in the current study.

135 We defined subfertility as couples trying to conceive for at least 12 months (Habbema *et al.*,  
136 2004; Gnoth *et al.*, 2005). Selected subfertile couples had regular menstrual cycles (cycle  
137 length between 23 and 35 days), at least one patent fallopian tube if hysterosalpingography,  
138 laparoscopy or transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy was performed and a total motile sperm count  
139  $> 1 \times 10^6$ .

140 Women were evaluated for tubal patency according to the Dutch national guidelines and  
141 protocols from 2002 to 2004 recommending the chlamydia antibody test (CAT) as the first-  
142 line test (NVOG, 2004). In women who were CAT negative, invasive diagnostic testing was  
143 usually refrained from, thus limiting tubal patency testing to the CAT (Broeze *et al.*, 2011).

144 Women who tested positive on the CAT, or if their history indicated a high risk of tubal  
145 pathology, were subsequently tested with hysterosalpingography, transvaginal  
146 hydrolaparoscopy or laparoscopy.

147 Decisions regarding treatment - IUI, IVF or expectant management - were made according to  
148 local protocols and subject to the judgement of the clinician and the wishes of the couple.

149 Expectant management was defined as no intervention or monitoring aside from the advice  
150 to have intercourse. Eighty-three percent of couples who received IUI used stimulation in at  
151 least one cycle and treatment was considered IUI-OS. The IUI protocols have been  
152 described in more detail elsewhere (Custers *et al.*, 2007).

153

#### 154 *Follow up and outcome definitions*

155 For the follow up of selected couples, we distinguished between time spent pursuing  
156 expectant management and time spent receiving IUI-OS cycles. The start of the IUI period  
157 was defined as the first day of menstruation before the first IUI cycle. The end of the IUI  
158 period was defined as the first day of menstruation before the last IUI cycle. With this  
159 definition, and because natural conceptions after unsuccessful IUI cycles were not recorded,  
160 all pregnancies in the IUI period resulted from IUI. The start of expectant management  
161 coincided with the completion of the fertility workup and ended at the last date of contact, first  
162 day of last menstruation before starting IUI or IVF or, in case they conceived naturally, the  
163 first day of the last menstruation before conceiving. We visualised the transition from  
164 expectant management to IUI-OS in the cohort by counting the number of couples in both  
165 groups over follow up.

166 The endpoint was ongoing pregnancy, defined as the presence of foetal cardiac activity at  
167 transvaginal sonography at a gestational age of at least 12 weeks (van der Steeg *et al.*,  
168 2007). Couples who miscarried before 12 weeks were not censored since they could still  
169 achieve ongoing pregnancy in subsequent cycles after their miscarriage. If no ongoing  
170 pregnancy occurred, we censored follow up at the end of expectant management or, if  
171 treated, at the end of the IUI period.

172

#### 173 *Cumulative pregnancy rates over multiple IUI cycles*

174 We opted for the Sequential Cox approach to be able to compare treated and untreated  
175 couples over multiple cycles after starting treatment, not only directly after completion of the  
176 fertility workup but also if they started later (Gran *et al.*, 2010).



177 In this approach, we derived multiple datasets from the cohort in which couples started IUI-  
178 OS at approximately the same point in time and compared them to couples undergoing  
179 expectant management at that time, mimicking hypothetical randomised controlled trials  
180 (Gran *et al.*, 2010). At completion of the fertility workup and each consecutive month  
181 thereafter, i.e. the landmark time points, we constructed such a new mimicked trial from our  
182 data in which we included all couples who remained in the cohort i.e. couples who had not  
183 conceived and who were not lost to follow up before that point. In these 'trial' sets spanning 6  
184 months, we considered couples as treated if they started IUI-OS early i.e. within one month  
185 after the landmark time point. Couples who started IUI-OS within the 6 month window of a  
186 trial, but later than one month after the landmark time point, were 'artificially censored' at the  
187 time of starting IUI-OS to retain a treatment group that all started at approximately the same  
188 time. This way, couples were not included in a single group throughout the study. Instead,  
189 couples who started IUI-OS were analysed as controls (under expectant management) in the  
190 trials preceding the month in which they started IUI-OS, at which point they were analysed  
191 over cumulative treatment cycles as part of the treated (IUI-OS) group in the mimicked trial  
192 that started that month.

193 The maximum follow up period of 18 months after the fertility workup was chosen because of  
194 the small numbers of couples starting treatment thereafter. Thus, we derived trial datasets from  
195 landmark time point 0 i.e. the fertility workup until the final landmark time point at 12 months  
196 after the workup, sliding forward in intervals of one month, resulting in a total of 13 mimicked  
197 trials.

198

### 199 *Adjusting for patient characteristics that differed between treated and untreated couples*

200 To balance treated, untreated, artificially censored and uncensored couples in important  
201 predictors of conception, we applied iterative inverse probability weighting (Austin, 2011; van  
202 der Wal, 2011; Austin and Stuart, 2015). Details on how we derived the weights to adjust for  
203 these differences are given in the **Supplementary Material**. The patient characteristics we  
204 chose to balance were: female age, duration of subfertility, primary or secondary subfertility,

205 total motile sperm count, referral status, presence of one-sided tubal pathology and fertility  
206 clinic (Hunault *et al.*, 2004; van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a). We calculated the mean weight, which  
207 is ideally around 1 to avoid inflating the effective sample size (Cole and Hernan, 2008).

208

209 We assessed the degree of balance in patient characteristics before and after weighting using  
210 the standardized mean difference between the treated and untreated group. A lower  
211 standardized mean difference between groups represents better balance and a value below  
212 0.10 generally indicates no important difference (Austin, 2011; Austin and Stuart, 2015).

213

#### 214 *Statistical analysis*

215 We analysed the weighted datasets using a pooled Cox proportional hazards model with IUI-  
216 OS or expectant management as a treatment covariate. We calculated an overall hazard ratio  
217 by stratifying on all 13 mimicked trials. We used a robust sandwich variance estimator to adjust  
218 precision measures since couples can be included in multiple trials (Wei *et al.*, 1989). Using  
219 the pooled Cox model, we predicted the probability of conception over 6 months when couples  
220 start IUI-OS immediately after completion of the fertility workup or when they remain on  
221 expectant management.

222

#### 223 *Modification of the estimated effect of IUI-OS by the prognosis of natural conception*

224 To address whether the effect of starting IUI-OS depends on the decreasing prognosis of  
225 natural conception of the individual couple, we added a treatment-by-prognosis interaction  
226 term to the model. We calculated a time-updated prognosis of natural conception over the next  
227 6 cycles at the start of each mimicked trial dataset by using the dynamic prediction model that  
228 comprises female age, duration of subfertility, primary or secondary subfertility, percentage of  
229 progressive motile sperm and referral by a general practitioner or specialist (van Eekelen *et*  
230 *al.*, 2017a). The prognosis for a couple is thus not one fixed value throughout the study, but  
231 decreases after consecutive failed natural cycles. We included the complementary log-log of  
232 this updated prognosis as a main effect, the main effect for treatment and the treatment-by-

233 prognosis interaction effect in the pooled Cox model. The weighting procedure was adjusted  
234 slightly for this analysis (VanderWeele, 2009) because the difference in prognosis between  
235 groups was adjusted for by adding it to the model as a main effect (see also **Supplementary**  
236 **Material**).

237 For three hypothetical couples, we visually depicted the relationship between their  
238 worsening prognoses and the accompanying 6-month cumulative predicted probability of  
239 conception following expectant management or starting IUI-OS, shown as treatment is initiated  
240 later. The first example is a couple referred by their general practitioner, where the female  
241 partner is nulliparous and 32 years old, the couple has 1 year of subfertility at the time of  
242 completion of the fertility workup and the semen analysis showed 37% progressively motile  
243 sperm. In this case, the estimated prognosis of natural conception over the next 6 cycles is  
244 25%. A second couple with the same characteristics except for a 2 year duration of subfertility  
245 at the completion of the fertility workup has a prognosis of 20% while a third couple with the  
246 same characteristics but for a 3.5 year duration of subfertility has a prognosis of 15%. At the  
247 time of the completion of their fertility workup, these couples have prognoses of 25%, 20% and  
248 15% respectively over 6 cycles, which translates to approximately 40%, 32% and 25%  
249 respectively over 13 cycles i.e. one year (van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a).

250 If these three hypothetical couples should fail to conceive naturally over the course of one year  
251 after completion of the fertility workup i.e. if they would 'enter' the latest mimicked trial, their  
252 prognoses over the next 6 cycles decrease to 13%, 10% and 7% respectively.

253 Estimated cumulative probabilities of ongoing pregnancy from this model are derived from the  
254 separate mimicked trials that all have different observed conception rates, thus predictions  
255 may fluctuate. We considered an absolute difference of more than 5% between point estimates  
256 of the cumulative ongoing pregnancy rates, estimated at the completion of the fertility workup,  
257 to signify a benefit of IUI-OS.

258

259 In addition to the impact of prognosis or a failure to conceive in consecutive natural cycles on  
260 the effect of treatment, we modelled if the effect of IUI-OS depends on the time of initiation of

261 treatment by adding an additional interaction between treatment and landmark time point to  
262 the pooled Cox model already including treatment, prognosis and the treatment-by-prognosis  
263 interaction. We also added a three-way interaction between treatment, prognosis and  
264 landmark time point to the previous model to see if the effect modification of prognosis on IUI-  
265 OS changed over mimicked trials i.e. when starting treatment later.

266 As a sensitivity analysis, we tested whether couples with mild male subfertility had more or  
267 less benefit from IUI-OS compared to couples that did not have mild male subfertility. We  
268 classified couples as having mild male subfertility when they had a total motile count between  
269 1 and  $10 \times 10^6$ , then tested the hypothesis by fitting a Cox model including treatment, the mild  
270 male subfertility classification and their interaction.

271

272 We used Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) and Wald tests for the interaction terms to  
273 determine whether including the interactions resulted in a better fit of the model to the data  
274 (Akaike, 1974).

275

#### 276 *Missing data*

277 Missing data were accounted for using multiple imputation in a previous study, creating ten  
278 imputation sets (van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a). In total, only 1.3% of patient characteristic data  
279 used for this study were missing and we chose to use one randomly selected imputation set  
280 for our analyses.

281

282 All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 <sup>2222</sup>(R Core Team (2013). R: A  
283 language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,  
284 Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>) using the *survival*, *dynpred* and *CreateTableOne*  
285 packages.

286

287

## 288 **Results**

289 From the 7860 couples included in the initial cohort, we selected 1896 couples for analysis  
290 (Figure 1) (van der Steeg *et al.*, 2007).

291 Of these, 800 couples had at least one IUI cycle within one and a half years after the workup  
292 for a total of 3119 cycles (**Table I**) following which 142 couples conceived leading to ongoing  
293 pregnancy (rate: 0.50 per couple per year over a median follow up for IUI of 4 months). Out  
294 of 1096 untreated couples followed up for one and a half years after the fertility workup, 386  
295 conceived naturally leading to ongoing pregnancy (rate: 0.31 per couple per year over a  
296 median follow up of 7 months). Among women who remained on expectant management, 68  
297 miscarried and 7 had an ectopic pregnancy. Among women treated with IUI-OS, 35  
298 miscarried and 7 women had an ectopic pregnancy.

299 Out of 800 couples who underwent IUI-OS, 64 started treatment directly after completion of  
300 the fertility workup and 736 had a prior period of expectant management after completion of  
301 their fertility workup. The median period between completion of the fertility workup and  
302 starting IUI-OS was 6.5 months. In 57% of IUI cycles, recombinant gonadotrophins were  
303 used, in 24% no medication was used, in 9% clomiphene citrate was used and in 7% urinary  
304 gonadotrophins were used. In 3% of cycles, another type of drug was used or data were  
305 missing. Two hundred and sixty eight couples (14%) received IVF as their first treatment,  
306 with a median period of expectant management of one year between completion of the  
307 fertility workup and the start of IVF.

308 We depicted the number of couples currently in the expectant management or IUI-OS group  
309 over time in **Figure 2**. Until ten months after the fertility workup, there was a steady increase  
310 in the number of couples who were currently in a treatment pathway, after which this number  
311 declined again.

312

313 The baseline characteristics for couples who eventually received at least one cycle of IUI-OS  
314 within one and a half years after the fertility workup or who remained untreated are  
315 summarized in **Table I**. Treated couples more often had primary subfertility (70% versus  
316 60%) and were more often tested for tubal patency using laparoscopy,

317 hysterosalpingography or transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy (55% versus 39%) compared to  
318 couples that were not treated. Female age, on average 32.1 years old (SD: 4.4) and median  
319 duration of subfertility of 1.6 years (5th-95th percentile: 1.0-4.7), both at completion of the  
320 fertility workup, were similar between groups.

321 In the weighted trial datasets, the standardized mean differences between treated and  
322 untreated couples were below 0.10 for all characteristics, indicating that the two groups were  
323 well balanced in terms of prognostic factors after weighting. The mean weight used in the  
324 pooled dataset was 1.00, indicating that weights are stable and do not artificially inflate  
325 sample size.

326

### 327 *Effect estimates of IUI-OS*

328 Starting IUI-OS was associated with increased ongoing pregnancy rates compared to  
329 expectant management as shown by an estimated hazard ratio of 1.96 (95%CI: 1.47-2.62),  
330 pooling all 13 mimicked, weighted trials running over 6 months.

331 The predicted probability that a couple would conceive over the course of 6 months of  
332 expectant management after the fertility workup was 17% (95%CI: 16-19%). If the couple  
333 started IUI-OS directly after completion of the fertility workup, their estimated probability of  
334 conception was 31% (23-38%) over that same period.

335

336 The relative effect of IUI-OS depended on the prognosis of natural conception ( $p=0.01$ ).

337 The relationship between prognosis and the estimated treatment effect as time progresses is  
338 visualised in **Figure 3**, in which estimated 6-month cumulative probabilities of conception  
339 with and without starting IUI-OS are shown for three different example couples with a  
340 prognosis to conceive naturally at completion of the fertility workup over the next 6 cycles of  
341 25% (**A**), 20% (**B**) or 15% (**C**), which were updated over time when these couples fail  
342 consecutive natural cycles and start treatment later.

343 The absolute cumulative predicted probability to conceive over 6 months after starting IUI-OS  
344 was stable at around 24%, regardless of the prognosis at completion of the workup or the

345 time thereafter when a couple would start, which leads to larger differences between IUI-OS  
346 and expectant management for couples at lower prognoses of natural conception and/or for  
347 couples after additional failed natural cycles, both on absolute and relative scale.

348 It follows from **Figure 3** that a couple who has tried to conceive for 3.5 years post fertility  
349 work up with a prognosis of 15% over the next 6 months (approximately 25% over one year)  
350 has a higher predicted probability when starting treatment directly after completion of the  
351 fertility workup compared to expectant management, whereas a couple who tried to conceive  
352 for 1 year with a prognosis of 25% (approximately 40% over one year) does not.

353

354 Apart from the influence of decreasing prognosis over time, we found no evidence that the  
355 relative effect of IUI-OS changed as treatment was started later ( $p=0.38$ ) nor that the  
356 dependency of the relative effect of IUI-OS on prognosis additionally depended on when  
357 treatment was started ( $p=0.66$ ). We found no evidence for a difference in the benefit of IUI-  
358 OS between couples with or without mild male subfertility ( $p=0.75$ ).

359

360

## 361 **Discussion**

362 In couples with unexplained subfertility, starting IUI-OS within one and a half years after  
363 completion of the fertility workup was associated with increased ongoing pregnancy rates  
364 over 6 months compared to expectant management. The estimated benefit of treatment  
365 depended on the prognosis of natural conception, not only expressed at the time of  
366 completion of the fertility workup but also after additional failed natural cycles.

367

368 Our study has a number of strengths. First, the chosen study design represents the best  
369 possible design for an observational study, being less subject to selection bias than a  
370 comparison of separate cohorts since unexplained subfertile couples that will eventually be  
371 treated or not were both sampled using a prospective approach and followed up thereafter  
372 (Aboulghar *et al.*, 2009; McLernon *et al.*, 2014; van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017b). In addition, we

373 were able to adjust for differences in prognostic factors between treated and untreated  
374 couples and the relatively large sample size and long follow up meant we were able to study  
375 whether the prognosis of natural conception influences the effect of IUI, an approach aimed  
376 to improve the management of unexplained subfertile couples and to explain the  
377 discrepancies between existing trials.

378         Second, data collection took place before the current Dutch clinical guideline for  
379 fertility recommending the application of the prediction model for natural conception leading  
380 to live birth by Hunault *et al.* to decide on treatment (NVOG, 2010). Because decisions  
381 regarding treatment were not clear-cut at the time, this led to considerable variation in  
382 observed time points when couples started IUI and ensured that most couples would be  
383 eligible for treatment, reducing confounding by indication.

384         Third, we defined the IUI period as the time between the first and last cycle, even  
385 when treatment cycles were not consecutive, which is a realistic measure of the actual time  
386 that couples spend in an IUI program. This allowed comparison with expectant management  
387 on the same axis representing 'real' calendar time and allows our results to be easily  
388 interpreted (Daya, 2005).

389         Fourth, we focused on the clinical question on what would occur when treatment  
390 would be started at a given time point and applied methodology that matched this question.  
391 When analysing the data using a regular Cox approach with a time-varying covariate for  
392 treatment, the couples currently undergoing IUI at a certain point in time consist of couples  
393 who just started and couples who already failed several cycles, such that the resulting  
394 estimate would be different from 'starting IUI' and more difficult to interpret (Gran *et al.*,  
395 2010).

396

397 Our study also has some limitations. An adequately powered, randomised controlled trial  
398 offers the best way of evaluating the effectiveness of IUI-OS compared to expectant  
399 management; our observational study following a cohort of couples after both strategies is a  
400 less robust design because treatment was not randomly allocated, which means that treated



401 and untreated couples might differ on important factors related to conception. We tried to  
402 balance these factors in both groups using (iterative) inverse probability weighting.  
403 Nevertheless, our results could still be influenced by unmeasured factors related to  
404 conception i.e. residual confounding. However, the advantage of using observational data on  
405 couples with a wide range of prognoses of natural conception was that we could directly  
406 address the hypothesis regarding the influence of prognosis on the relative effect of IUI-OS.  
407 Addressing this hypothesis with an experimental design would require the unfeasible,  
408 inefficient and indirect approach of conducting multiple smaller, separate trials applying  
409 different inclusion criteria.

410         The primary outcome was ongoing pregnancy since following couples for live birth  
411 increases logistical efforts that the study budget did not allow for. In addition, following  
412 couples for live birth increases the likelihood of loss-to-follow-up. Ongoing pregnancy is  
413 generally considered an appropriate and efficient proxy for live birth in clinical research:  
414 approximately 95% of ongoing pregnancies lead to live birth (Clarke *et al.*, 2010; Braakhekke  
415 *et al.*, 2014).

416         54% of couples only received a CAT and not a visual test for tubal patency. It is  
417 unlikely that this has led to clinically relevant differences in tubal pathology between treated  
418 and untreated couples because the decision to initiate IUI-OS was taken in the absence of  
419 visual confirmation of tubal patency and thus, any difference is likely due to chance.

420         We lacked the sample size to accurately estimate interactions between all separate  
421 patient characteristics female age, duration of subfertility etc. and IUI-OS to further  
422 individualize treatment effects. Instead, we opted for the summary score in terms of an  
423 estimated prognosis such that only one additional parameter was required (Dahabreh *et al.*,  
424 2016) and updated this over time using our previously developed dynamic model for natural  
425 conception to determine when a couple would benefit from starting treatment (van Eekelen et  
426 al., 2017a).

427

428 Our findings add context to the apparent discrepancies between the two randomised  
429 controlled trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management (Steures *et al.*, 2006;  
430 Farquhar *et al.*, 2018). Steures *et al.* included 253 couples with an intermediate prognosis for  
431 natural conception i.e. 30% to 40% as calculated by the Hunault model and found a risk ratio  
432 of 0.85 (95%CI: 0.63-1.10) for ongoing pregnancy after IUI-OS compared to expectant  
433 management over the course of 6 months. The pragmatic TUI trial by Farquhar *et al.*  
434 included 201 couples with a prognosis <30% and found a beneficial effect of IUI-OS  
435 compared to expectant management by a risk ratio of 3.41 (95%CI: 1.71-6.79). Couples in  
436 the latter study had a much worse prognosis of natural conception compared to the first;  
437 mostly due to an average duration of subfertility of 3.6 years that was almost double the  
438 average duration in the trial by Steures *et al.* and in the present study. Our finding that the  
439 benefit of IUI-OS is larger in couples with poorer prognoses of natural conception, both on  
440 relative and absolute scale, may primarily explain and support the difference in results.

441  
442 Couples with a prognosis of natural conception of 25% over 6 months (approximately 40%  
443 over one year) or higher were not expected to benefit from IUI-OS. For couples with a  
444 prognosis around 20% over 6 months (approximately 32% over one year), it was uncertain if  
445 we can expect a clinically relevant benefit of IUI-OS. Couples with a prognosis of 15% over 6  
446 months (approximately 25% over one year) or lower were expected to benefit from IUI-OS as  
447 the absolute difference in pregnancy rates was more than 5%.

448 Since IUI-OS is invasive, expensive and associated with potential disadvantages such as  
449 ovarian hyperstimulation or multiple pregnancies (Rooney and Domar, 2016), we believe that  
450 counselling couples should involve a discussion about their prognosis when following  
451 alternative treatment scenarios so that they are able to make an informed choice. These  
452 results, in combination with the prognosis of natural conception derived from a prediction  
453 model (van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a), can be used to attain that goal.

454 Because confidence intervals around the predicted pregnancy rates after IUI-OS for a given  
455 prognosis were broad in the current study, the results need to be interpreted with caution and  
456 replicated in further research.

457

#### 458 **Conclusion**

459 Within one and a half years post fertility workup, starting IUI-OS is associated with higher  
460 chances of conception in couples with unexplained subfertility and a poor prognosis for  
461 natural conception i.e. <15% over 6 months or <25% over one year. Our results explain the  
462 discrepancies between two trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management using  
463 different inclusion criteria for the prognosis of natural conception. These results may be used  
464 in counselling couples with unexplained subfertility. Future studies should focus on  
465 establishing the threshold for the prognosis of natural conception at which IUI-OS is deemed  
466 (cost-)effective.

467 **Acknowledgements**

468 The authors would like to thank Prof. Hein Putter and colleagues from Leiden University  
469 Medical Center, the Netherlands and Dr. Marijn Hazelbag and colleagues from the Julius  
470 Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands for their insightful comments  
471 regarding the methodology used in this paper.

472

473 **Author's roles:**

474 NvG, PS, FvdV and MJE conceived the study. PS and IMC collected and cleaned the data.  
475 RvE, MJE and NvG designed the statistical analysis plan. RvE analysed the data. RvE and  
476 NvG drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed critical revision to the paper and  
477 approved the final manuscript.

478

479 **Funding**

480 This study was facilitated by grant 945/12/002 from ZonMW, The Netherlands Organization  
481 for Health Research and Development, The Hague, The Netherlands. BWM is supported by  
482 a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (GNT1082548).

483

484 **Conflicts of Interest**

485 BWM reports consultancy for ObsEva, Merck and Guerbet. The other authors have no  
486 conflicts of interest.

487

488 **References**

489

490 Aboulghar M, Baird D, Collin J, Evers J, Fauser B, Lambalk C and Other A. Intrauterine  
491 insemination. *Hum Reprod Update* 2009;**15**:265-277.

492

493 Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic*  
494 *Control* 1974;**19**:716-723.

495

496 Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of  
497 Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2011;**46**:399-424.

498

499 Austin PC and Stuart EA. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of  
500 treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in  
501 observational studies. *Stat Med* 2015;**34**:3661-3679.

502

503 Braakhekke M, Kamphuis EI, Dancet EA, Mol F, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Ongoing  
504 pregnancy qualifies best as the primary outcome measure of choice in trials in reproductive  
505 medicine: an opinion paper. *Fertil Steril* 2014;**101**:1203-1204.

506

507 Brandes M, Hamilton CJ, de Bruin JP, Nelen WL and Kremer JA. The relative contribution of  
508 IVF to the total ongoing pregnancy rate in a subfertile cohort. *Hum Reprod* 2010;**25**:118-126.

509

510 Broeze KA, Opmeer BC, Coppus SF, Van Geloven N, Alves MF, Anestad G, Bhattacharya S,  
511 Allan J, Guerra-Infante MF, Den Hartog JE, *et al.* Chlamydia antibody testing and diagnosing

512 tubal pathology in subfertile women: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod*  
513 *Update* 2011;**17**:301-310.

514

515 Clarke JF, van Rumste MM, Farquhar CM, Johnson NP, Mol BW and Herbison P. Measuring  
516 outcomes in fertility trials: can we rely on clinical pregnancy rates? *Fertil Steril* 2010;**94**:1647-  
517 1651.

518

519 Cole SR and Hernan MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural  
520 models. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;**168**:656-664.

521

522 Custers IM, Steures P, van der Steeg JW, van Dessel TJ, Bernardus RE, Bourdrez P, Koks  
523 CA, Riedijk WJ, Burggraaff JM, van der Veen F, *et al.* External validation of a prediction model  
524 for an ongoing pregnancy after intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**88**:425-431.

525

526 Dahabreh IJ, Hayward R and Kent DM. Using group data to treat individuals: understanding  
527 heterogeneous treatment effects in the age of precision medicine and patient-centred  
528 evidence. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016;**45**:2184-2193.

529

530 Daya S. Life table (survival) analysis to generate cumulative pregnancy rates in assisted  
531 reproduction: are we overestimating our success rates? *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**:1135-1143.

532

533 Farquhar CM, Liu E, Armstrong S, Arroll N, Lensen S and Brown J. Intrauterine insemination  
534 with ovarian stimulation versus expectant management for unexplained infertility (TUI): a  
535 pragmatic, open-label, randomised, controlled, two-centre trial. *Lancet* 2018;**391**:441-450.

536

537 Gnoth C, Godehardt E, Frank-Herrmann P, Friol K, Tigges J and Freundl G. Definition and  
538 prevalence of subfertility and infertility. *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**:1144-1147.

539

540 Gran JM, Roysland K, Wolbers M, Didelez V, Sterne JA, Ledergerber B, Furrer H, von Wyl V  
541 and Aalen OO. A sequential Cox approach for estimating the causal effect of treatment in the  
542 presence of time-dependent confounding applied to data from the Swiss HIV Cohort Study.  
543 *Stat Med* 2010;**29**:2757-2768.

544

545 Habbema JD, Collins J, Leridon H, Evers JL, Lunenfeld B and te Velde ER. Towards less  
546 confusing terminology in reproductive medicine: a proposal. *Hum Reprod* 2004;**19**:1497-1501.

547

548 Hunault CC, Habbema JD, Eijkemans MJ, Collins JA, Evers JL and te Velde ER. Two new  
549 prediction rules for spontaneous pregnancy leading to live birth among subfertile couples,  
550 based on the synthesis of three previous models. *Hum Reprod* 2004;**19**:2019-2026.

551

552 McLernon DJ, te Velde ER, Steyerberg EW, Mol BW and Bhattacharya S. Clinical prediction  
553 models to inform individualized decision-making in subfertile couples: a stratified medicine  
554 approach. *Hum Reprod* 2014;**29**:1851-1858.

555

556 NVOG, Dutch Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Guideline on basic fertility workup  
557 (2004).

558

559 NVOG, Dutch Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Guideline on: subfertility (2010).  
560 Accessed on: 5th of February, 2017. Available from: <http://bit.ly/1UhuYMV>.

561

562 R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation  
563 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>.

564

565 Rooney KL and Domar AD. The impact of stress on fertility treatment. *Curr Opin Obstet*  
566 *Gynecol* 2016;**28**:198-201.

567

568 Steures P, van der Steeg JW, Hompes PG, Habbema JD, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ,  
569 Verhoeve HR, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Intrauterine insemination with  
570 controlled ovarian hyperstimulation versus expectant management for couples with  
571 unexplained subfertility and an intermediate prognosis: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*  
572 2006;**368**:216-221.

573

574 Steures P, van der Steeg JW, Mol BW, Eijkemans MJ, van der Veen F, Habbema JD, Hompes  
575 PG, Bossuyt PM, Verhoeve HR, van Kasteren YM, *et al*. Prediction of an ongoing pregnancy  
576 after intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril* 2004;**82**:45-51.

577

578 Tjon-Kon-Fat RI, Bendsdorp AJ, Bossuyt PM, Koks C, Oosterhuis GJ, Hoek A, Hompes P,  
579 Broekmans FJ, Verhoeve HR, de Bruin JP, *et al*. Is IVF-served two different ways-more cost-  
580 effective than IUI with controlled ovarian hyperstimulation? *Hum Reprod* 2015;**30**:2331-2339.

581

582 a. van Eekelen R, Scholten I, Tjon-Kon-Fat RI, van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Hompes P, van  
583 Wely M, van der Veen F, Mol BW, Eijkemans MJ, *et al*. Natural conception: repeated  
584 predictions over time. *Hum Reprod* 2017;**32**:346-353.

585

586 b. van Eekelen R, van Geloven N, van Wely M, McLernon DJ, Eijkemans MJ, Repping S,  
587 Steyerberg EW, Mol BW, Bhattacharya S and van der Veen F. Constructing the crystal ball:



588 how to get reliable prognostic information for the management of subfertile couples. *Hum*  
589 *Reprod* 2017;**32**:2153-2158.

590

591 van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Eijkemans MJ, Habbema JD, Hompes PG, Broekmans FJ, van  
592 Dessel HJ, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Pregnancy is predictable: a large-scale  
593 prospective external validation of the prediction of spontaneous pregnancy in subfertile  
594 couples. *Hum Reprod* 2007;**22**:536-542.

595

596 van der Wal W (2011). Causal modelling in epidemiological practice [PhD thesis, 2011].  
597 Chapter 8: Using iterative probability weighting to improve causal effect estimates. University  
598 of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Available from: <http://bit.ly/2kWMrRt>.

599

600 VanderWeele TJ. On the distinction between interaction and effect modification. *Epidemiology*  
601 2009;**20**:863-871.

602

603 Wei L, Lin D and Weissfeld L. Regression analysis of multivariate incomplete failure time data  
604 by modeling marginal distributions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*  
605 1989;**84**:1065-1073.

606

## 607 **Figure legends**

608

609 **Figure 1** Flow chart of couples from the cohort who were included in the analysis.

610

611 **Figure 2** Number of couples currently on treatment or not as time progresses, depicted in the  
612 original dataset (n=1896) before the sequential Cox procedure.

613

614 **Figure 3** The association between the predicted prognosis of natural conception and the  
615 estimated benefit of starting IUI-OS. This is shown as cumulative probabilities over 6 months  
616 (y-axis) when starting IUI-OS or not at different time points after completion of the fertility  
617 workup (x-axis) for three example couples that have three different prognoses at the workup  
618 completion: 25% (panel A), 20% (panel B) or 15% (panel C). The prognosis was calculated  
619 over 6 cycles and updated after additional failed natural cycles. Grey bands represent 95%  
620 confidence limits.